► AO 472 (Rev. 3/86) Order of Detention Pending Trial	L-2	LED
	CT COLDT DISTRICT	NEBRASK
United States Distri	CICOURI	
District of	nebraska0CT	2 7 2905
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		~
	R OF DETENTION OF THE NOTE OF SEPECT 1990 PROPERTY OF THE PROP	F THE OLERK
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing hadetention of the defendant pending trial in this case.	s been held. I conclude that the following	facts require the
Part I—Findings of Fac  (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) a or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).  an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death. an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more	nd has been convicted of a  federal o rise to federal jurisdiction had existed	ffense  state that is
a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.  (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was of the offense described in finding (1).  (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction for the offense described in finding (1).  (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no conditions afety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant based on the person of the defendant has committed an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).  (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.  Alternative Findings (B)	n release pending trial for a federal, state or ion release of the defendant from imition or combination of conditions will reason ant has not rebutted this presumption.	local offense. prisonment onably assure the
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear. (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another per	rson or the community.	·
Part II—Written Statement of Reason  I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establish derance of the evidence that  Det. has not established or she  Ne can be released under ) & M.	es by Clear and convincing evidence	
(rim. P. 32,1 (a) (b)		
David I	presentative for confinement in a correction custody pending appeal. The defendant si urt of the United States or on request of a	hall be afforded a in attorney for the

\*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).